



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DIN Dec-05

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
SEVENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES CA 90025-1030

COPY MAILED

DEC 08 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Yannis Tsivdis : DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 10/784,613 :
Filed: 23 February, 2004 :
Atty Docket No. 7084P004 :
:

This is a decision on the petition, filed on 21 September, 2005, under 37 CFR 1.137(f) which is treated as a petition to revive the above-identified nonprovisional application under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner states that the present nonprovisional application is the subject of a foreign or international application filed on 27 February, 2004. However, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in a foreign country.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.137(f) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reply which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);
and

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

The present petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.137(f). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the Office of a foreign or international filing within 45 days after the date of filing of such foreign or international application as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(i) has been rescinded.

A Notice of Allowability and a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due were mailed in this case on 26 September, 2005. However, in view of the nonpublication request filed with the application, the Notice of Allowance mailed in this case did not require the submission of a publication fee. Therefore, in view of the rescinding of the nonpublication request, a Notice of Publication Fee Due is being mailed under separate cover. Petitioner should note that payment of the publication fee is required to be filed within the period set forth in the Notice to avoid abandonment of the application.

A projected publication date will be assigned in due course. Petitioner will be notified of this date in a separate correspondence.

The application is being referred to the Office of Publication to await receipt of the issue fee.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to the undersigned at 571.272.3231.



Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions